

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/800,806	VON ARX ET AL.	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) BENJAMIN A. KAPLAN PTO personnel.

(3) MARK E. DEFFNER Applicant's Representative.

(2) KAMBIZ ZAND SPE PTO personnel.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 June 2010

Time: 6:00 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

59

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kambiz Zand/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434
 /Benjamin A Kaplan/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2434

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's Representative and Examiner discussed the status of the case to expedite prosecution. Claim 59 stood apart as being unmodified and having issues that would arise from means for language. Claim 59 is being canceled by examiners amendment. Since there were no claims depending on claim 59 and the prosecution history is clear on all the other claims this has resulted in the allowance of the present application.